

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 10/729,698 | 12/05/2003 | Virginia Tarpinian | 2595U.001 | 4597 |
| 21917 7 | 10/13/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| MCHALE & SLAVIN, P.A. | | | WILLIAMS, MARK A | |
| 2855 PGA BLVD PALM BEACH GARDENS, FL 33410 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 3676 | , |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/13/2000 | DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/729,698 | TARPINIAN ET AL. | TARPINIAN ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | | | |

| • | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Mark A. Williams | 3676 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | | |
| | TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | |
| | oliones with 27 CED 44 27 must be | filed within him we well | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | , | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| ∴ Applicants reply has overcome the following rejection(s) ∴ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | | _ | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper №(s) | | | | | | | |
| 13. ☐ Other: | | | | | | | |
| • | 1/llen - | | | | | | |
| BRIAN E. GLESSNER | | | | | | | |
| SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: the substantial amendments to claims 12 and 20 require further consideration and/or search.